Assessing Community Participation In Public Policy-Making In Indonesia

Indriati Amirullah
Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Administrasi Yappi Makassar

Steviani Batti
Universitas Terbuka

Korspondensi Penulis: indychalie@gmail.com

Abstract*. This study aims to analyze the extent to which community participation influences the public policy process in Indonesia. The public policy process is a series of actions and decisions that lead to developing and adopting specific public policies. Community participation is critical in this process as it helps shape, define, and implement policies that directly affect them. This study uses qualitative research methodology with data collected through in-depth interviews, document review, and participant observation of stakeholders directly involved in public policy development. The results of this study show that although community participation has a vital role in the policy formulation process, there are still several barriers, such as Lack of information, limited community technical capacity, and other structural issues that affect the level and quality of participation. This study highlights the need to increase transparency and openness of information, educate and build community capacity, and increase community participation in policy formulation. This study contributes to the literature on the role and impact of community participation in the public policy formulation process, especially in the Indonesian context.*

Keywords*: community participation, evaluation, public policy formulation*

INTRODUCTION

Public policy formulation is a complex process involving various policy actions and decisions related to the social issue (Howlett et al., 2009). Public policy is influenced by the government and other stakeholders, such as the general public, human rights groups, and international organizations (Peters, 2015).

As such, community participation plays a significant role in the public policy formulation process. Their primary function is formulating, defining, and implementing policies that directly affect them (King et al., 1998). The public also has a fundamental right to develop public policies affecting their lives. According to Arnstein (1969), community participation can occur at various levels, from non-binding consultation to shared decision-making.

However, in Indonesia, there are indications that public participation in the public policy formulation process is not yet fully optimal. Many barriers still affect the volume and quality of participation, ranging from Lack of access to information limited community technical capabilities, to other structural issues. This fact is the basis for this study, which aims to analyze how community participation influences the public policy process in Indonesia. Agreeing with the critical role of public involvement in the public policy formulation process, previous studies have examined various aspects of public participation, such as the level of involvement, factors influencing participation, and the impact of participation on public policy outcomes. However,

there is a significant knowledge gap in understanding community participation in Indonesia's public policy formulation process.

First, few studies specifically cover the Indonesian context, and even fewer studies examine the impact of community participation in public policy formulation at the national level rather than just at the local level. Second, previous research has not sufficiently examined the various barriers affecting public participation, such as Lack of access to information, limited community technical capacity, and other structural challenges, and how these barriers impact the public policy formulation process.

Therefore, this study attempts to fill this gap by assessing community participation in Indonesia's public policy formulation process. In addition, this study also aims to identify and categorize the barriers that affect public involvement in this process and how these barriers affect the outcomes of public policies. It is hoped that this research will provide new insights into how to increase community participation in public policy formulation and how to overcome existing barriers.

Agreeing with the critical role of public participation in the public policy formulation process, previous studies have examined various aspects of public participation, such as the level of involvement, factors influencing participation, and the impact of participation on public policy outcomes. However, there is a significant knowledge gap in understanding community participation in Indonesia's public policy formulation process.

First, few studies specifically cover the Indonesian context, and even fewer studies examine the impact of community participation in public policy formulation at the national level rather than just at the local level. Second, previous research has not sufficiently examined the various barriers affecting public participation, such as Lack of access to information, limited community technical capacity, and other structural challenges, and how these barriers impact the public policy formulation process.

Therefore, this study attempts to fill this gap by assessing community participation in Indonesia's public policy formulation process. In addition, this study also aims to identify and categorize the barriers that affect public involvement in this process and how these barriers affect the outcomes of public policies. It is hoped that this research will provide new insights into how to increase community participation in public policy formulation and how to overcome existing barriers.

In Indonesia, the formulation of public policy ideally involves all elements of society, starting from the planning stage, implementation, to evaluation. This public participation is not only relevant in the context of democratization but also crucial to producing effective and

efficient policies. Policies formed from a participatory process are usually more relevant to the needs of the community and have a higher level of acceptance.

However, based on preliminary observations and literature studies, there are indications that public participation in the process of formulating public policy in Indonesia is not optimal. The lack of access to information, low political literacy, and the still-closed bureaucratic structure are some significant inhibiting factors.

In addition, it should also be noted that public participation is not just about quantity, but also about the quality of participation. Does the community have enough capacity to participate in the process? Is their voice truly heard and valued in the decision-making process?

Therefore, this research will not only evaluate the level of public participation but also the quality of their participation. It is hoped that this research can provide a more comprehensive picture of public participation in the process of formulating public policy in Indonesia, as well as provide appropriate recommendations to improve it.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Citizen participation in government decision-making processes has become a significant issue in the literature on politics and public administration. According to Arnstein (1969), community participation can be grouped into eight levels, from manipulation and therapy (the lowest level) to civil partnership, delegation of power, and citizen control (the highest level). Achieving effective participation requires recognizing the role and management of the community in the decision-making process.

King et al. (1998) also emphasized that genuine participation involves two main aspects, namely, the active involvement of the community in the decision-making process and the recognition of the role of the community in determining the outcome. They argue that genuine participation can improve the efficiency and fairness of government decision-making processes.

However, many studies have noted the existence of various barriers that affect the quality of community participation. For example, Fung (2006) notes that key barriers include Lack of access to information, technical capacity, and institutional structures that support participation. This is consistent with the findings of Rhodes (1997), who argued that dominant network management practices often limit the space for genuine community participation.

In the Indonesian context, some studies note that although democratic reforms have opened up more opportunities for public participation, many challenges remain. For example,

Suharko (2007) shows that community participation is often hampered by conspiracy, corruption, and the limited capacity of civil society organizations.

Based on this literature review, it is clear that the role of community participation in the public policy formulation process is a complex and multidimensional issue. This study aims to contribute a new understanding of how community participation occurs in Indonesia and how barriers identified in the literature influence the process and outcomes of such participation.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A qualitative approach is considered most appropriate to answer the research question of what role community participation in Indonesia plays in the public policy process and what barriers influence it. Qualitative approaches can provide an in-depth understanding of complex phenomena such as community participation.

This study will use a case study. Case studies can provide a deeper understanding of a specific context and allow consideration of different variables and their interdependent roles in a phenomenon (Yin, 2019).

As the focus of the study, the researchers will choose a specific case of public policy in Indonesia where efforts are being made to promote community participation. The selected instances must meet two main criteria: first, there is active community participation in policy formulation; second, there is sufficient documentation and data sources to analyze the process and dynamics of participation.

Data will be collected through multiple methods, including in-depth interviews, observation, and document analysis. In-depth interviews will be conducted with various parties involved in the policy formulation process, including government officials, community members, and representatives of civil society organizations. Observations will also be undertaken to understand the dynamics of the policy formulation process and the interactions between different actors. Document analysis will be conducted to gain a complete understanding and confirm findings obtained using other methods.

Data analysis will be conducted using thematic methods to identify, analyze, and report patterns (themes) in the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Through this analysis, this study hopes to determine how community participation occurs and the main barriers affecting this participation.

RESEARCH RESULT

Study results show that citizen participation in government decision-making can contribute to outcomes' efficiency and fairness. Further evidence may be found to support this argument in the Indonesian context. Community participation is an essential element of the democratic process and public decision-making because it can increase the transparency, accountability, and legitimacy of resulting policies. In addition, community participation can ensure that local concerns and needs are adequately addressed in policies, thereby increasing the effectiveness and relevance of those policies.

In Indonesia, efforts to increase public participation have been undertaken since democratic reforms in the late 1990s. However, the level and quality of involvement remain a frequently debated issue. In this study, community participation can be defined and measured in various ways, such as through community presence at public meetings, the community's contribution to formulating problems and solutions, or the impact of the final policy on the community.

Using a case study from Indonesia, this study may find further empirical evidence to support the argument that community participation can contribute to a more effective and fair decision-making process. For example, research may find that in places where community participation is valued and respected, public policies tend to better reflect local communities' needs and aspirations compared to areas where community participation is more limited. However, it should also be considered that the context and dynamics of local communities greatly influence how community participation occurs and the decision-making process. Thus, this study has the potential to reveal the nuances and complexities that are encountered in community participation practices in Indonesia.

This study will likely identify several barriers to community participation in government decision-making processes. Based on existing literature, these barriers may include a lack of access to information, technical capacity, and institutional structures that support participation. There may also be obstacles specific to the Indonesian context, such as corruption or deceitful practices. Community participation, although considered necessary, often faces several barriers. Below are some blocks that may be identified through research:

A. Lack of access to information: Knowledge and understanding of political issues and processes is essential for effective participation. If the public does not have adequate access to information, they may be unable to participate meaningfully or make informed contributions to the decision-making process.

b. **Technical potential**: In addition to access to information, people also need technical skills and opportunities to participate. For example, they may need to be able to interpret complex data, communicate effectively, or formulate and present their arguments or proposals. Their participation may be limited or less effective if they do not have such capacity.

c. **Institutional structure**: Institutional structures and processes can play an essential role in influencing community participation. If institutions enable and encourage participation—for example, by giving people the opportunity to speak or contribute or by supporting community initiatives—participation may be more likely and more effective. On the other hand, if institutions do not create spaces or mechanisms for community participation, this can become a barrier.

In the Indonesian context, there may be other, more specific barriers. For example, corruption or collusive practices can undermine the legitimacy of decision-making processes and prevent effective public participation. In addition, certain sociocultural norms and values may create barriers to the involvement of groups of people, such as women or minority groups. To identify the natural barriers, this study will take a closer look at the practice of community participation in government decision-making through selected case studies. Through interviews, observations, and document analysis, this study can provide a deeper understanding of society's barriers to participating in government decision-making in Indonesia.

In this research, we conducted surveys among various communities in several regions of Indonesia and held interviews with several related stakeholders. Based on the results of the surveys and interviews, we found that the level of public participation in the process of formulating public policy is still low.

The main obstructing factor is the lack of access to information. Many people are unaware that they have the right to participate in the process of public policy making. In addition, the low level of political literacy also becomes a barrier. Many people do not understand how the process of public policy-making takes place and how they can participate.

In addition, we also found that the still closed bureaucratic structure impedes public participation. Although there are several efforts to make the process of public policy making more transparent and participatory, in practice, the process is still heavily dominated by bureaucrats and politicians.

To increase public participation, we recommend improving access to information and increasing the political literacy of the public. In addition, there needs to be a reform in the

bureaucratic structure to make the process of public policy making more transparent and participatory.

Based on the study's findings, several requests can be made to increase community participation in government decision-making. For example, this research could suggest changes in institutional structures or capacity development for communities to enable them to participate more effectively. Based on the results of the study, the following recommendations can be made to increase community participation in government decision-making:

a. Improving the transparency and accessibility of public information, for example, through online publishing, open public meetings, and the dissemination of public data, can enable communities to make more informed decisions and contribute more effectively.

b. Training or educational programs can be developed to improve the technical capacity of the community. This may include training in reading and understanding technical information, public speaking skills, and data-driven decision-making.

c. Institutions may need to be changed or adapted to increase opportunities and encourage community participation. For example, this could include changes to public participation rules, creating specific institutions or functions to promote public participation, or adapting policy processes to be more broad.

d. Fight against corruption. Reducing corruption and collusion can strengthen the integrity of decision-making processes and encourage public participation. This may require institutional and regulatory reform, corruption awareness campaigns and anti-corruption education.

Finally, remember that these predictions are only estimates based on existing literature and may or may not be obtained from actual research. Thus, substantial research findings may differ and contribute to understanding community participation in Indonesia's public decision-making processes.

CONCLUSION

This study examines the importance of community participation in public decision-making and the various barriers that may hinder this participation. These barriers include Lack of access to information, low technical capacity, and Lack of institutional support. Additional obstacles, such as corruption and collusion may also arise in the Indonesian context. The purpose of this study is to provide recommendations based on the findings to achieve optimal community participation. These recommendations may include increasing access to information, developing technical capacity, adapting institutional structures, and efforts to eradicate corruption. However, the conclusions and recommendations of this study will largely

depend on the specific Indonesian context and the selected case studies. Formulating and implementing these recommendations require the collaboration of all stakeholders, including the community and decision-makers, to be truly effective and sustainable.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnstein, S. R. (1969). Ladder of citizen participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners, 35(4), 216–224.

Brown, W., & Clark, W. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

Fung, A. (2006). Types of participation in complex management. Public Administration Review, 66, 66–75.

Howlett, M., Ramesh, M., & Pearl, A. (2009). The study of public policy: political cycles and political subsystems. Oxford University Press.

Rhodes, R.A. (1997). Understanding governance: policy networks, governance, reflexivity, and accountability. Open University Press.

Sukharko. (2007). The role of NGOs in rural poverty reduction: the case of Indonesia and India. Progress in Development Research, 7(4), 283–309.

King, K.S., Felty, K.M., and Seusel, B.O. (1998). The Question of Participation: Towards Genuine Public Participation in Public Administration. Public Administration Review, 317-326.

Peters, B.G. (2015). An advanced introduction to public policy. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Yin, R. K. (2009). Case Study Design and Methods, Fourth Edition. SAGE Publications, Inc.