

## Buying Interest is Also Influenced by Price

Purwanto Fajar Trisno, Ahmadijaya Ghiffari, Owen Michael

**Abstract.** The development of cafe business has spread in several big cities in Indonesia, one of which is Semarang city. In this city, the number of cafes that sell *speciality coffee* is growing and increasing up to five times. The growth of coffee shop in Semarang has appealed to coffee shop entrepreneurs in Semarang, such as Strada Coffee, Kelas Kopi, Antara Kata, Black Bone Coffee, and Peacock Coffee. This increasing number of coffee shops also triggers the growing competition among them. *A coffee shop crowded with coffee lovers is a coffee shop with high quality product and affordable price, and can be accepted by all coffee lovers. Some coffee shops in Semarang are starting to sell two kinds of coffee based on its presentation, which are manual brew and espresso base. However, not all coffee shops in Semarang have manual brew coffee as their product since some people in Semarang do not understand well the brewing system of manual brew coffee*

**Keywords :** *Product Quality, Price*

### INTRODUCTION

A cup of coffee or a dish can be one factor that influences a consumer to determine his or her buying decision. Furthermore, consumers tend to try buying product that has unique taste (Daengs, Mahjudin, & Hufron, 2012).

Strada Coffee is one of the famous coffee shops in Semarang. This cafe was established in 2015. The cafe provides two methods of coffee brewing for its consumers, manual brew and espresso base. Manual brew is a method of using some tools which are *pour over, french press, aeropress, chemex* by brewing coffee beans that have been previously ground. Manual brew itself uses most *light to medium* beans. Espresso, on the other hand, is using the machine by means of pressuring and serving 30 ml liquid named as espresso base. Espresso usually uses *medium to dark* beans.

Based on the above explanation, this research aimed to test and analyze the effects of product quality and price on buying decision at Strada Coffee Semarang, particularly for manual brew and espresso base coffee drinks.


### LITERATURE REVIEW

In this recent era, paradigm of business competition is based on flexibility and responsiveness, thus many researches focus their discussions on consumer's buying decision (Mazursky, 2000; Oktavio, 2017). Consumer's buying decision is a decision made through broad different processes and by such factors like environment, number of people who decide, understanding of the product to buy, and time to decide (Joshi & Rahman, 2015; Xu & Chen, 2017). A consumer will buy goods after doing analysis of estimated profit earned and cost spent, however most consumers only have limited cognitive resources and depend on simple heuristic to make buying decision (Frederiks, Stenner, & Hobman, 2015; Nakhata & Kuo, 2014; Yoon, Cole, & Lee, 2009). Richard, Cleavenger, and Storey (2014) explain about five stages of a process to determine buying decision which was coined by John Dewey in 1910. The first stage is problem recognition. In this stage, buying process is visible when consumers recognize their problem or need and when there is a difference between real condition and expected situation. According to Khatib (2016), needs are usually triggered by internal and external stimulants. The second stage is information disclosure. A consumer will always try to find as much information as possible. The number of information disclosure will increase if a consumer moves from the situation of problem solving to extensive problem solving (Mihart, 2012). The primary source of information used by consumers can be of personal source, commercial source, general source, and source of experience (Hajli, 2013). The next step is alternative evaluation. Alternative evaluation is evaluation done by consumers in order to satisfy their needs (Bakshi, 2013). Consumers are looking for specific advantages and product as solution to satisfy their needs (Kehinde, Omotayo, Mosunmola, & Borishade, 2016). The fourth stage in this process of consumer's buying decision is the decision of buying something. In this stage, consumers are likely to buy product based on what they really like about the product (Phillips, 2003). However, there are two factors that influence this buying decision. The first factor is other people's opinion (Khatib, 2016). Some people give strong recommendation until buying probability happens. However, other people's influence can be very complex if consumer's opinion and others contradict with each other. The second factor is related to some unanticipated situations (Samson & Voyer, 2014). Consumers can shape their thinking based on the factors such as family income, expected price and expected product benefits as well. The last stage, the fifth, is after buying decision. After the product is bought, consumers will feel either satisfied or unsatisfied with the product (Dobrescu & Radu, 2014). According to Mahapatra, Kumar, and Chauhan (2010), function of consumer's satisfaction is actually function of how close is consumer's expectation to consumers' experience of the product benefits. If the product benefits cannot meet their expectation, they will feel they are being disappointed, or vice versa. These feelings will ultimately make consumers to consider their repurchasing intention.

Product that is bought by consumers is supposed to have satisfying quality for them, so its quality has strong influence in convincing the consumers to do buying decision (Alfred, 2013; Jurič, Vuković, & Šuput, 2014). Product quality is the ability of a product to perform its functions, including durability, reliability, accuracy, easy

to operate and fix, and other valuable attributes (Kotler & Armstrong, 2016). If quality of a product can satisfy its consumers, it can be predicted that consumers will feel satisfied with their buying decision which lead to repurchase decision. Product quality of a product's feature and characteristic serves to meet or satisfy consumers' needs (Kotler & Keller, 2016).

Before buying the product, consumers sometimes look for information of the product they are going to buy. Product price is a kind of information that is very important for them. Huffman (2009) explains that information about price is very needed since this information will be put attention to, understood, and price meaning as resulted from information about price can influence consumers' behaviors. Price has two main roles in consumer's buying decision process. The first role is price allocation which helps consumers to decide how to obtain goods that meet their highest expectation in accordance with their buying power. Thus, price helps consumer to decide how to allocate their buying power to different types and goods. They can compare prices from different available alternatives, and then decide where to allocate their buying power. The second role of price is its information role related to educating consumers about production factors, such as quality. This role is especially beneficial for them in a situation where they face challenges to assess production factor or its benefit objectively (Grewal & Lindsey-Mullikin, 2006; Spears, 2014).



**Figure 1**  
**Framework of Research Model**

Based on the explanation above, the hypotheses tested in this research are as follows :

H1 : Product quality has positive and significant effect on buying decision.

H2 : Price has positive and significant effect on buying decision

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

This research was quantitative by nature with correlational study as its research method, aiming to study the relationships between research variables. Population used in this research was all consumers who consumed coffee drinks provided in Strada Coffee Semarang. The number of respondents as research sample was 96 respondents. Questionnaire used as instrument to measure variables in this research consisted of 5 scales of answers based on Likert scale, with the interval from 1 (lowest scale) as *totally disagree* and 5 (highest scale) as *totally agree*. Multiple linear regression analysis via SPSS version 23 was used as technique of data analysis. Indicators to measure variable of product quality were adopted from Wangean & Mandey (2014). The indicators are: a). guaranteed taste of coffee; b) delicious taste of coffee; c) interesting coffee

presentation; and d) various kinds of coffee beverage. For variable of price, the indicators were adopted from Kotler & Keller (2016) which are : a) affordable price; b) competitive price with other cafes; and c) price is worth the product. As for variable of buying decision, the indicators were adopted from Ong & Sugiharto (2013) which are: a) consumers do repurchase, and b) consumers recommend the coffee to others.

## DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

### Profile of Respondent

Characteristics of respondents are classified into sex, occupation, and age. Total respondents were 96 people consisting of 55 males (57.3%) and 41 females (42.7%). For their occupation, 45 respondents (46.9%) were entrepreneurs, 20 people (20.9%) were employees and 31 people were students / university students (32.2%). For their age range, 24 people (25%) were 17-25 y.o., 37 people (38.6%) were 26-35 y.o., 26 people (27.1%) were 36-45 y.o., and 9 people (9.4%) were over 45 y.o.

### Validity and Reliability

Validity test for this research was *Pearson correlation* test and *Cronbach's alpha* for its reliability test. The result of validity test is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Validity Test**

Item	<i>Corrected Item-Total Correlation</i>	Note
<b>Product Quality (X1)</b>		
X1.1	0.604	<i>Valid</i>
X1.2	0.461	<i>Valid</i>
X1.3	0.608	<i>Valid</i>
X1.4	0.731	<i>Valid</i>
<b>Price (X2)</b>		
X2.1	0.653	<i>Valid</i>
X2.2	0.768	<i>Valid</i>
X2.3	0.823	<i>Valid</i>
<b>Buying Decision (Y1)</b>		
Y1.1	0.524	<i>Valid</i>
Y1.2	0.524	<i>Valid</i>

Source : Data processed (2018)

As shown in Table 1, it can be seen that the indicators used to measure all three variables (product quality, price and buying decision) were valid. The items then were suitable to be used to measure the variables. Table 2 shows the result of reliability test.

The value for *cronbach's alpha* for each variable was higher than 0.6, which means the instrument used was reliable.

**Table 2. Reliability Test**

<b>Variable</b>	<b><i>Cronbach's Alpha</i></b>	<b>Note</b>
Product Quality (X1)	0.789	Reliable
Price (X2)	0.866	Reliable
Buying Decision (Y1)	0.685	Reliable

Source : Data processed (2018)

### **Descriptive Statistics Analysis**

From Table 3, it can be seen that each indicator that measured the variables was categorized as good. Consumers' perception for the product quality was good, so does their perception for price of the coffee product.

**Table 3. Descriptive Statistics Analysis for Product Quality, Price and Buying Decision**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Mea</b>	<b>Catego</b>
Guaranteed taste	3.74	Good
Delicious taste	4.1	Good
Interesting presentation	3.98	Good
Various kinds of coffee	3.94	Good
<b>Mean of Product Quality</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>Good</b>
Affordable price	3.67	Good
Competitive price with other cafes	3.73	Good
Price worth the product	3.7	Good
<b>Mean of Price</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>Good</b>
Consumers do repurchase	4.14	Good
Consumers give recommendation to others	4.1	Good
<b>Mean of Buying Decision</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>Good</b>

Source : Data processed (2018)

### **Hypotheses Testing**

Hypotheses testing were done to test whether the hypotheses were accepted or rejected. Each hypothesis was tested with multiple linear regression analysis via SPSS software version 23. The results are shown in Table 4.

**Table 4. Hypotheses Testing**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>Significance</b>
<i>Constant</i>	1.836	3.460	0.001
Product Quality (X1)	0.497	3.724	0.000
Price (X2)	0.088	0.822	0.413

Source : Data processed hasil olah data (2018)

In Table 4, it can be seen that the coefficient value for product quality variable was 0.497, with significant value of 0.000. Meanwhile, coefficient of price was 0.088 with significance value 0.413. From this, it is safe to state that product quality had positive and significant influence on buying decision, while price had no effect towards buying decision. Thus, the first hypothesis was accepted and the second hypothesis was rejected. In addition to coefficient value and t value, the researchers used R-squared test which is displayed in Table 5.

**Table 5. R-Squared Test**

R-square	Adjusted R-square
0.174	0.148

Source : Result of data processed (2018)

From Table 5, it can be seen that R-square value was 0.174, interpreted as this model can only explain buying decision issue of 17.4%, while the other 82.6% was explained by another variable (besides product quality and price) outside the variables tested in this model. In other words, in this research, buying decision was influenced by product quality and price of 17.4%, while the other 82.6% was influenced by unknown variables.

### **Discussion**

The findings of this research, which revealed that product quality has positive and significant effect on buying decision, support the findings of other related researches. Ong & Sugiharto (2013), Suhaily & Darmoyo (2017), and Wangean & Mandey (2014) all agree that product quality has positive and significant effect on buying decision. Which is to say that increasing or decreasing of consumer's rating on product quality significantly affect their buying decision (Ashby & Walasek, 2015). When making buying decision, consumers of Strada Coffee Semarang tend to consider its product quality. They agree that coffee drinks by Strada Coffee have guaranteed quality, delicious taste, interesting presentation, and wide variants of coffee drinks.

Other findings of this research reveal also that price has no effect on buying decision. This is consistent with the findings of Hustić & Gregurec (2015), Murwatiningsih & Apriliani (2013), and Rumengan, Tawas, & Wenas (2015). This is possibly occurred because consumers of Strada Coffee already have knowledge about the beans used in their coffee drinks as raw materials are the highest quality beans

(grade A) and thus are expensive. Still, consumers assume that expensive price for a cup of coffee at Strada Coffee is identical with high product quality, which means that the consumers of Strada Coffee tend to expect more on the coffee drinks' product quality rather than on their price. In addition to that, coffee lovers are also welcomed to make their own coffee drinks in order to experience how to prepare for a manual brew coffee or espresso base coffee. Their experiences help them shaping their perception that price of coffee drinks in Strada Coffee is not a matter to concern.

### **CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

Product quality has effect on consumers' buying decision, thus increase or decrease in consumers' rating on product quality can significantly affect buying decision. Meanwhile, price has no effect on buying decision, so increase or decrease in consumers' rating on price cannot affect their buying decision. In conclusion, expensive price of coffee drinks in Strada Coffee is identical with high product quality. Consumers are not too sensitive with the price of coffee drinks in Strada Coffee as long as its product quality meets or even exceeds their expectation.

### **Research Limitation and Suggestion for Future Research**

The data used in this research were gathered cross-sectionally, so they had no capacity to explain the dynamics of situation or relationship among population being in different time, as well as other dynamic variables that influenced them. Therefore, it is suggested that future research focuses on gathering longitudinal data to make the research findings more accurate, by considering the possibilities of changes occurred in population being observed from time to time, such as their behaviors, attitudes, and even opinions.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Alfred, O. (2013). Influences of price and quality on consumer purchase of mobile phone in the Kumasi metropolis in Ghana: A comparative study. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 5(1), 2222–2839.
- [2] Ashby, N. J. S., & Walasek, L. (2015). The effect of consumer ratings and attentional allocation on product valuations. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 10(2), 172–184.
- [3] Bakshi, S. (2013). Impact of gender on consumer purchase behaviour. *ABHINAV National Monthly Referred Journal of Research in Commerce & Management*, 1(9), 1–8.
- [4] Cita, G. (2015). Studi tentang fungsi warung kopi bagi masyarakat di kota Bagansiapiapi. *Jom FISIP*, 2(2), 1–13.
- [5] Daengs, A., Mahjudin, & Hufron, M. (2012). Increasing the service quality for customer satisfaction. *Journal of Economics, Business, and Accountancy Ventura*, 15(3), 423–442.
- [6] Dobrescu, A. I., & Radu, A. – C. (2014). A model of consumers' satisfaction regarding the operating companies in the direct sales market in Romania. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 10(14), 10–15.



- [7] Frederiks, E. R., Stenner, K., & Hobman, E. V. (2015). Household energy use: Applying behavioural economics to understand consumer decision-making and behaviour. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41, 1385–1394.
- [8] Grewal, D., & Lindsey-Mullikin, J. (2006). The moderating role of the price frame on the effects of price range and the number of competitors on consumers' search intentions. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(1), 55–62.
- [9] Hajli, M. N. (2013). A study of the impact of social media on consumers. *International Journal of Market Research*, 56(January), 387–404.
- [10] Huffman, W. E. (2009). Does information change behavior? In *OECD World Forum*. Retrieved from [https://www.oecd.org/site/progress\\_korea/43733640.pdf](https://www.oecd.org/site/progress_korea/43733640.pdf)
- [11] Hustić, I., & Gregurec, I. (2015). The influence of price on customer's purchase decision. In *Central European Conference on Information and Intelligent Systems* (pp. 1–6).
- [12] Joshi, Y., & Rahman, Z. (2015). *Factors affecting green purchase behaviour and future research directions. International Strategic Management Review* (Vol. 3).
- [13] Jurič, B., Vuković, D., & Šuput, B. (2014). The influence of colours on the purchase decision making process when developing sustainable products. *Tourism and Hospitality Industry*, 566–583.
- [14] Kaihatu, T. S. (2012). Kepuasan konsumen yang dipengaruhi oleh kualitas layanan dengan brand image sebagai Variable perantara: Studi kasus pada konsumen rumah sakit swasta di kota Surabaya. *Jurnal Mitra Ekonomi and Manajemen Bisnis*, 3(2), 200–210.
- [15] Kehinde, O. J., Omotayo, A., Mosunmola, A., & Borishade, T. (2016). Marketing Concept And The Satisfaction of Consumer Needs : The Nigerian Consumers Experience. *Research journal's Journal of Marketing*, 4(1), 1–15.
- [16] Khatib, F. (2016). The impact of social media characteristics on purchase decision empirical study of Saudi customers in Aseer Region. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 7(4), 41–50.
- [17] Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (2016). *Principles of marketing* (16th ed.). Pearson
- [18] Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *A framework for marketing management* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- [19] Mahapatra, S. N., Kumar, J., & Chauhan, A. (2010). Consumer satisfaction, dissatisfaction and post-purchase evaluation : An empirical study on small size passenger cars in India. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 11(2), 97–108.
- [20] Mazursky, D. (2000). The effects of time delays on consumers' use of different criteria for product purchase decisions. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 15(1), 163–175.
- [21] Mihart, C. (2012). Impact of integrated marketing communication on consumer behaviour: Effects on consumer decision - making process. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 4(2), 121–129.
- [22] Murwatningsih, & Apriliani, E. P. (2013). Apakah kepercayaan konsumen lebih efektif daripada risiko and Price? *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, 4(2), 184–191.

- [23] Nakhata, C., & Kuo, H.-C. (2014). Non-price cues utilization during social coupon purchasing-decision. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 23(6), 439–451.
- [24] Oktavio, A. (2017). Pengaruh business process reengineering terhadap business process performance pada hotel budget di Surabaya. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen and Akuntansi: Ekonomika'45*, 4(2), 163–175.
- [25] Ong, I. A., & Sugiharto, S. (2013). Analisa pengaruh strategi diferensiasi, citra merek, Product Quality and Price terhadap Buying Decision pelanggan di Cincin Station Surabaya. *Jurnal Manajemen Pemasaran*, 1(2), 1–11.
- [26] Phillips, C. (2003). How do consumers express their identity through the choice of products that they buy? *Management Working Paper Series*, 1–20.
- [27] Richard, B., Cleavenger, D., & Storey, V. A. (2014). The buy-in: A qualitative investigation of the textbook purchase decision. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 14(3), 20–31.
- [28] Rumengan, A. N., Tawas, H. N., & Wenas, R. S. (2015). Analisis citra merek, Product Quality, and strategi Price terhadap Buying Decision mobil Daihatsu Ayla cabang Manado. *Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis and Akuntansi (EMBA)*, 3(2), 684–694.
- [29] Samson, A., & Voyer, B. G. (2014). Emergency purchasing situations: Implications for consumer decision-making. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 44, 21–33.
- [30] Sari, D. R., Tety, E., & Eliza. (2016). Analisis posisi ekspor kopi Indonesia di pasar duni. *Jom Faperta*, 3(1), 1–7.
- [31] Spears, D. (2014). Decision costs and price sensitivity: Field experimental evidence from India. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 97, 169–184.
- [32] Sudiarto, Widayanti, S., & Kresna, D. M. (2012). Perilaku konsumen penikmat Kopi Tubruk and Kopi Instan. *Jurnal Sosial Ekonomi Pertanian*, 6(3), 1–11.
- [33] Suhaily, L., & Darmoyo, D. S. (2017). Effect of product quality, perceived price and brand image on purchase decision mediated by customer trust (Study on Japanese brand electronic product). *Jurnal Manajemen*, XXI(2), 179–194.
- [34] Wangean, R. H., & Mandey, S. L. (2014). Analisis citra merek, Product Quality and Price pengaruhnya terhadap Buying Decision konsumen pada mobil All New Kia Rio di kota Manado. *Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis and Akuntansi (EMBA)*, 1(3), 920–930.
- [35] Xu, B., & Chen, J. (2017). Consumer purchase decision- making process based on the traditional clothing shopping form. *Journal of Fashion Technology & Textile Engineering*, 5(3).
- [36] Yoon, C., Cole, C. A., & Lee, M. P. (2009). Consumer decision making and aging: Current knowledge and future directions. *Journal of Consumer Psychology (Elsevier Science)*, 19(1), 2–16.