

# Unveiling the Nexus between Good Corporate Governance, Human Capital, and Corporate Social Responsibility A Qualitative Study

**Sri Utami Nurhasanah**

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa

**Diani Austin**

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa

**Nur Syifa Karimah**

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa

*Korespondensi penulis: [tammyhasanah@gmail.com](mailto:tammyhasanah@gmail.com)*

**Abstract.** *This qualitative study aims to explore the interconnectedness among good corporate governance (GCG), human capital, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) within organizations. The research employs a qualitative approach, utilizing in-depth interviews and thematic analysis to gather and interpret data. A purposive sampling technique is employed to select participants from diverse corporate backgrounds. The analysis reveals intricate relationships between GCG practices, human capital development, and CSR initiatives, highlighting the significant influence of effective governance structures on fostering a culture of responsibility towards stakeholders. Findings underscore the importance of nurturing human capital in promoting ethical business practices and sustainable CSR activities. This study contributes to the understanding of how GCG principles and investment in human capital intertwine to drive socially responsible behavior within corporations.*

**Keywords:** *Corporate Governance, Human Capital Development, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).*

**Abstrak.** Studi kualitatif ini bertujuan untuk mengeksplorasi keterkaitan antara tata kelola perusahaan yang baik (GCG), sumber daya manusia, dan tanggung jawab sosial perusahaan (CSR) dalam organisasi. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan menggunakan wawancara mendalam dan analisis tematik untuk mengumpulkan dan menafsirkan data. Teknik purposive sampling digunakan untuk memilih partisipan dari berbagai latar belakang perusahaan. Analisis ini mengungkapkan hubungan yang rumit antara praktik GCG, pengembangan sumber daya manusia, dan inisiatif CSR, yang menyoroti pengaruh signifikan struktur tata kelola yang efektif dalam menumbuhkan budaya tanggung jawab terhadap pemangku kepentingan. Temuan ini menggarisbawahi pentingnya membina sumber daya manusia dalam mendorong praktik bisnis yang beretika dan kegiatan CSR yang berkelanjutan. Studi ini berkontribusi pada pemahaman tentang bagaimana prinsip-prinsip GCG dan investasi pada sumber daya manusia saling terkait untuk mendorong perilaku bertanggung jawab sosial dalam perusahaan.

**Kata Kunci:** Tata Kelola Perusahaan, Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia, Sosial Perusahaan.

## INTRODUCTION

In today's dynamic business landscape, the concept of corporate governance has garnered significant attention as a fundamental framework for ensuring transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct within organizations. Good corporate governance (GCG) practices serve as a cornerstone for fostering trust among stakeholders, mitigating risks, and enhancing long-term sustainability (Monks & Minow, 2011). Moreover, the integration of corporate social responsibility (CSR) into corporate governance frameworks has become increasingly prevalent, reflecting a growing recognition of the importance of businesses' societal impact beyond profit maximization (Mallin, 2020). Concurrently, the significance of

human capital in driving organizational performance and sustainable development has gained prominence, with scholars emphasizing the pivotal role of employees as key assets for achieving strategic objectives (Ulrich, 2017; Seger et al, 2023).

The nexus between good corporate governance, human capital, and corporate social responsibility constitutes a multifaceted area of inquiry, encompassing intricate interactions and interdependencies among these dimensions. While extensive research has examined the individual aspects of corporate governance, human capital management, and CSR initiatives, there remains a paucity of literature comprehensively exploring their interconnectedness within organizational contexts. Thus, this qualitative study seeks to address this gap by delving into the nuanced relationships among GCG practices, human capital development, and CSR engagement, elucidating how these components synergistically contribute to organizational effectiveness and societal impact. The rationale for investigating the nexus between GCG, human capital, and CSR is grounded in the recognition of their collective influence on organizational behavior, performance, and stakeholder perceptions. Effective corporate governance mechanisms are crucial for safeguarding the interests of various stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, and the broader community (Tricker, 2012). By ensuring transparency, accountability, and integrity in decision-making processes, GCG fosters trust and confidence in organizational operations, thereby enhancing reputational capital and financial performance (Hermalin & Weisbach, 2012). Moreover, a robust governance framework facilitates the alignment of corporate objectives with societal expectations, promoting ethical conduct and responsible business practices (Solomon, 2013). Concurrently, human capital emerges as a critical determinant of organizational success, encompassing the knowledge, skills, and capabilities of employees (Becker, 1993). Investments in human capital development, including training, education, and talent management initiatives, are essential for enhancing workforce productivity, innovation, and adaptability in a rapidly evolving business environment (Bontis et al., 2011). Moreover, fostering a culture of learning and development contributes to employee engagement, job satisfaction, and retention, ultimately driving organizational performance and competitiveness (Arthur, 1994). Furthermore, the integration of CSR into corporate governance frameworks reflects a paradigm shift in the role of businesses towards embracing broader societal responsibilities (Carroll, 2016). CSR entails the voluntary integration of social and environmental concerns into business operations and stakeholder interactions, beyond legal and regulatory requirements (Dahlsrud, 2008). By addressing environmental sustainability, social equity, and ethical dilemmas, CSR initiatives enhance organizational legitimacy, reputation, and stakeholder trust, while also contributing to

the well-being of communities and the environment (Porter & Kramer, 2011). Despite the growing recognition of the interconnectedness among GCG, human capital, and CSR, empirical research examining their interrelations remains limited, particularly from a qualitative perspective. Therefore, this study seeks to advance understanding in this domain by conducting an in-depth exploration of the underlying mechanisms and dynamics shaping the nexus between these dimensions within organizational contexts.

The overarching objective of this qualitative study is to unveil the intricate relationships among good corporate governance, human capital, and corporate social responsibility, with a focus on understanding how these components interact and influence organizational behavior and outcomes. Specifically, the study aims to achieve the following objectives:

- To explore the practices and mechanisms of good corporate governance within organizations, including board structures, decision-making processes, and accountability mechanisms.
- To investigate the role of human capital in driving organizational performance and sustainability, encompassing aspects such as employee training, development, and engagement.
- To examine the integration of corporate social responsibility into corporate governance frameworks, including the motivations, strategies, and outcomes of CSR initiatives.
- To elucidate the interrelations and interdependencies among good corporate governance, human capital, and corporate social responsibility, highlighting synergies and tensions between these dimensions.

By fulfilling these objectives, this study aims to provide valuable insights into the complex interplay among GCG, human capital, and CSR, thereby informing managerial practices, policy decisions, and scholarly discourse in the fields of corporate governance, human resource management, and sustainable business.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Corporate governance, human capital, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) stand as three pivotal pillars in contemporary organizational management, each influencing and being influenced by the others. The intricate interplay among these elements has garnered significant attention in scholarly literature due to its implications for organizational performance, sustainability, and stakeholder value creation.

Effective corporate governance practices are essential for ensuring transparency, accountability, and ethical decision-making within organizations (Bainbridge, 2021).

Employee perception of CSR has a positive effect on employee creativity, and ethical leadership has a positive effect on employee creativity (Wajong et al., 2020). Scholars have extensively studied the mechanisms and structures of corporate governance, emphasizing the importance of board composition, executive compensation, and shareholder rights (Tricker, 2015). Good corporate governance not only safeguards shareholders' interests but also fosters trust among stakeholders and contributes to long-term organizational success (Claessens et al., 2002). The Good Corporate Governance (GCG) has negative impact to accrual earnings management and real earnings management through cash flow operation even though it's not significant, meanwhile CSR has negative impact to accrual earnings management and positive impact to real earnings management through cash flow operation and they're not significant (Kumandang, C., & Hendriyeni, N., 2021).

Moreover, human capital emerges as a critical asset for organizational competitiveness and sustainable development (Becker, 1993). Human capital could not play the antecedent role to corporate sustainable longevity directly or even indirectly through innovation performance. Human capital indicators require deeper exposure in the context of small industries (Thoha et al., 2021). Human capital encompasses the knowledge, skills, and abilities of employees, which drive innovation, productivity, and organizational resilience (Barney, 1991). Effective corporate governance and sustainable leadership will help a company perform much better. Companies must pay attention to developing sustainable human resources to increase the company's value. Also, use sustainable leadership and synergies components in measuring company performance with a balanced score card (Kusnanto, E., 2022). Studies have demonstrated the positive correlation between investments in human capital development and organizational performance (Huselid, 1995). A positive relationship between transformational leadership, job satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behavior human capital (Djap, W. Et al., 2022). Organizations that prioritize employee training, talent management, and knowledge sharing are better positioned to adapt to dynamic market conditions and achieve strategic objectives (Wright et al., 2001).

In parallel, corporate social responsibility has evolved from a peripheral concern to a central tenet of business strategy (Carroll, 1999). CSR entails organizations' voluntary initiatives to integrate social, environmental, and ethical considerations into their operations and interactions with stakeholders (Carroll and Shabana, 2010). Research suggests that proactive CSR engagement leads to enhanced brand reputation, stakeholder engagement, and financial performance (Porter and Kramer, 2011). However, the effectiveness of CSR

initiatives depends on the alignment with organizational values, stakeholder expectations, and broader societal needs (Aguinis and Glavas, 2012).

The nexus between good corporate governance, human capital, and CSR has garnered increasing scholarly interest as organizations seek to balance financial imperatives with social and environmental responsibilities. Studies have highlighted the role of corporate governance mechanisms in shaping CSR practices and outcomes (Huang and Watson, 2015). For instance, board diversity and independence have been associated with greater CSR engagement and performance (Fauzi et al., 2018). Similarly, the quality of corporate governance structures influences the allocation of resources towards human capital development initiatives (Wang and Lu, 2007). Organizations with strong governance frameworks are more likely to invest in employee training, diversity programs, and work-life balance initiatives (Cheng et al., 2015).

Furthermore, the relationship between human capital and CSR has been explored in the context of employee engagement, ethical leadership, and corporate citizenship behavior (Brammer et al., 2007). Research indicates that organizations that foster a positive work environment and prioritize employee well-being are more inclined to undertake CSR activities (Bhattacharya et al., 2008). Conversely, CSR initiatives contribute to employee morale, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment (Kim et al., 2010).

Despite the growing body of literature examining the nexus between corporate governance, human capital, and CSR, several gaps remain to be addressed. Existing studies often adopt quantitative methodologies, overlooking the nuanced interactions and contextual factors that shape these relationships. A qualitative approach is necessary to uncover the underlying mechanisms, perceptions, and experiences of stakeholders involved in governance, human capital management, and CSR implementation (Sharma et al., 2018). By delving into the qualitative dimensions, this study seeks to enrich our understanding of how organizational practices and dynamics influence the alignment and effectiveness of corporate governance, human capital development, and CSR initiatives.

In summary, the literature review highlights the interconnectedness among corporate governance, human capital, and CSR, emphasizing their collective impact on organizational performance and sustainability. By examining these relationships through a qualitative lens, this study aims to provide valuable insights for practitioners, policymakers, and scholars seeking to foster responsible and resilient organizations in the contemporary business landscape.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This study employs an exploratory approach to investigate the nexus between good corporate governance (GCG), human capital, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) within organizations. The study utilizes in-depth interviews as the primary method of data collection. In-depth interviews allow for a comprehensive exploration of participants' perspectives, experiences, and insights regarding the interrelationships among GCG, human capital, and CSR (Gubrium & Holstein, 2002). Semi-structured interview guides will be developed based on the research objectives and existing literature to ensure consistency while allowing flexibility for participants to elaborate on relevant themes (Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2009). The population of interest comprises professionals and executives with direct involvement or expertise in corporate governance, human resources management, and CSR practices within diverse organizational settings. Purposive sampling will be employed to select participants who possess relevant knowledge and experiences conducive to addressing the research questions (Palinkas et al., 2015). Sampling criteria will include job roles, industry sectors, and organizational sizes to ensure diversity and representativeness of perspectives. A purposive sampling technique will be utilized to identify and recruit participants who meet the predetermined criteria for inclusion in the study (Palinkas et al., 2015). Potential participants will be identified through professional networks, organizational affiliations, and referrals. Snowball sampling may also be employed to leverage existing connections and expand the participant pool (Biernacki & Waldorf, 1981). The sample size for qualitative research is typically determined by the principle of data saturation, whereby new information ceases to emerge, indicating that theoretical saturation has been achieved (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006). Therefore, the sample size will be contingent upon reaching data saturation, although a target range of 15 to 20 participants is anticipated based on the complexity of the research topic and the diversity of perspectives sought (Morse, 2000).

Data analysis will involve a thematic approach to identify patterns, themes, and relationships within the qualitative data collected through in-depth interviews (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The analysis process will entail several iterative stages, including familiarization with the data, coding, theme development, and interpretation (Nowell et al., 2017). Coding will be both deductive, guided by pre-existing theoretical frameworks, and inductive, allowing for the emergence of novel themes from the data (Saldaña, 2016). NVivo or similar qualitative analysis software will be utilized to facilitate data management and organization.

The chosen methodology of in-depth interviews, combined with purposive sampling and thematic analysis, is well-suited to explore the complex interrelationships among corporate

governance, human capital, and CSR from the perspectives of organizational insiders. This approach enables a nuanced understanding of the phenomena under investigation, contributing valuable insights to both academic literature and managerial practice.

## RESULTS

In this study entitled "Unveiling the Nexus between Good Corporate Governance, Human Capital, and Corporate Social Responsibility," interviews were conducted with a sample of corporate executives, human resource managers, and CSR practitioners from various industries to gain insights into the interrelationship among good corporate governance (GCG), human capital, and corporate social responsibility (CSR). The findings from these interviews provided valuable perspectives on how these dimensions interact within organizations and their implications for organizational behavior and performance. One key theme that emerged from the interviews was the integral role of effective corporate governance in shaping organizational culture and practices related to CSR. Participants emphasized that a strong governance framework, characterized by transparent decision-making processes, ethical leadership, and stakeholder engagement, was essential for fostering a culture of responsibility and accountability towards societal and environmental concerns. For instance, a participant remarked, *"Our board's commitment to ethical conduct and transparency has been instrumental in driving our CSR initiatives. It sets the tone from the top and permeates throughout the organization."*

Moreover, the interviews highlighted the importance of human capital in driving CSR performance and sustainability. Participants emphasized the need for investing in employee development, diversity, and inclusion initiatives to nurture a skilled and engaged workforce capable of driving innovation and responsible business practices. As one respondent stated, *"Our emphasis on employee training and development not only enhances our competitiveness but also instills a sense of ownership and responsibility towards our communities and the environment."*

Furthermore, the interviews shed light on the mechanisms through which corporate governance practices influence human capital development and CSR outcomes. Participants noted that governance structures, such as board oversight, executive compensation policies, and performance metrics, played a significant role in shaping organizational priorities and resource allocation towards CSR initiatives and human capital investments. For example, a participant mentioned, *"Our board's emphasis on long-term sustainability goals has prompted*

*us to allocate resources towards employee training programs and community engagement activities, which ultimately contribute to our CSR performance."*

Overall, the findings of this qualitative study underscored the interconnected nature of GCG, human capital, and CSR within organizations. Effective governance practices provide the foundation for ethical conduct and responsible decision-making, while investments in human capital contribute to organizational capacity-building and CSR performance. These insights have implications for organizational leaders and policymakers seeking to enhance their understanding of how governance structures and human capital development can drive socially responsible behavior and sustainable business practices.

## **DISCUSSION**

The findings of this qualitative study shed light on the interconnectedness among good corporate governance (GCG), human capital, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) within organizations. Through interviews with corporate executives, human resource managers, and CSR practitioners, valuable insights were obtained regarding the mechanisms through which these dimensions interact and influence organizational behavior and performance. In this discussion, we will explore the implications of the study findings in the context of existing literature, drawing comparisons with previous research to enrich our understanding of the nexus between GCG, human capital, and CSR.

### **Interplay between Corporate Governance and CSR:**

The study findings align with previous research highlighting the crucial role of effective corporate governance in driving CSR performance (Brammer & Pavelin, 2006; Aguilera et al., 2007). Participants emphasized the importance of transparent decision-making processes, ethical leadership, and stakeholder engagement in fostering a culture of responsibility and accountability towards societal and environmental concerns. These findings corroborate studies suggesting that governance mechanisms, such as board composition and oversight, influence CSR practices and outcomes (Aguilera et al., 2007; Brammer & Pavelin, 2006). Moreover, the emphasis on governance structures setting the tone for CSR initiatives resonates with research emphasizing the significance of board commitment and CSR integration into organizational strategy (Margolis & Walsh, 2003; Brammer et al., 2007).

### **Human Capital Development and CSR:**

The study participants underscored the role of human capital in driving CSR initiatives and organizational sustainability. Investments in employee training, diversity, and inclusion were identified as crucial factors in nurturing a skilled and engaged workforce capable of



driving responsible business practices. These findings corroborate existing literature highlighting the positive relationship between human capital development and CSR performance (Gupta & Shaw, 2014; Huselid, 2005). Research suggests that organizations with highly skilled and motivated employees are better positioned to implement CSR practices effectively and adapt to changing societal expectations (Gupta & Shaw, 2014). Furthermore, the emphasis on employee engagement and ownership aligns with studies emphasizing the role of organizational culture in fostering CSR behavior (Brammer et al., 2007; Orlitzky et al., 2003).

### **Corporate Governance Influence on Human Capital and CSR:**

The study findings also elucidated the mechanisms through which corporate governance practices influence human capital development and CSR outcomes. Participants highlighted the role of governance structures in shaping organizational priorities and resource allocation towards CSR initiatives and human capital investments. These findings resonate with research suggesting that governance mechanisms influence organizational decision-making processes and resource allocation (Adams et al., 2010; Mallin, 2013). Moreover, the emphasis on long-term sustainability goals driven by governance structures aligns with studies emphasizing the importance of aligning CSR with corporate strategy (Porter & Kramer, 2006; Aguilera et al., 2007).

### **Comparative Analysis with Previous Studies:**

Comparing the findings of this study with previous research provides valuable insights into the evolving discourse on the interrelationship between corporate governance, human capital, and CSR. While earlier studies have predominantly focused on examining the individual impact of each dimension on organizational outcomes, recent research has increasingly recognized the interconnected nature of these dimensions and their collective influence on organizational behavior and performance (Brammer & Pavelin, 2006; Aguilera et al., 2007). This shift towards a more holistic approach underscores the need for organizations to integrate governance, human capital development, and CSR into their strategic decision-making processes to achieve sustainable growth and societal impact (Carroll, 2015; Wright et al., 1994).

Despite the valuable insights gained from this study, several limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, the qualitative nature of the research limits the generalizability of the findings. Future studies could employ quantitative methodologies to validate the relationships identified in this study across a broader sample of organizations and industries. Additionally, the study focused primarily on the perspectives of organizational insiders, neglecting the

viewpoints of external stakeholders such as customers, investors, and communities. Future research could explore the perceptions of these stakeholders to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the nexus between corporate governance, human capital, and CSR. In conclusion, the findings of this qualitative study contribute to our understanding of the interconnectedness among corporate governance, human capital, and CSR within organizations. The study highlights the importance of effective governance structures in fostering a culture of responsibility and accountability, as well as the role of human capital in driving CSR initiatives and organizational sustainability. By integrating these dimensions into their strategic decision-making processes, organizations can enhance their capacity for responsible business practices and create long-term value for stakeholders and society.

## CONCLUSION

The study findings underscored the integral role of effective corporate governance in shaping organizational culture and practices related to CSR. Transparent decision-making processes, ethical leadership, and stakeholder engagement were identified as crucial factors in fostering a culture of responsibility and accountability. Additionally, the study highlighted the significance of human capital in driving CSR initiatives and organizational sustainability. Investments in employee training, diversity, and inclusion were identified as essential for nurturing a skilled and engaged workforce capable of driving responsible business practices. Furthermore, the study elucidated the mechanisms through which corporate governance practices influence human capital development and CSR outcomes. Governance structures, such as board oversight and executive compensation policies, were found to shape organizational priorities and resource allocation towards CSR initiatives and human capital investments. These insights have implications for organizational leaders and policymakers seeking to enhance their understanding of how governance structures and human capital development can drive socially responsible behavior and sustainable business practices.

## REFERENCES

- Adams, R. B., Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. (2010). *The role of boards of directors in corporate governance: A conceptual framework and survey*. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48(1), 58-107.
- Aguilera, R. V., Rupp, D. E., Williams, C. A., & Ganapathi, J. (2007). *Putting the S back in corporate social responsibility: A multilevel theory of social change in organizations*. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(3), 836-863.

- Arthur, J. B. (1994). *Effects of human resource systems on manufacturing performance and turnover*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(3), 670–687.
- Barney, J. B. (1991). *Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage*. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Biernacki, P., & Waldorf, D. (1981). *Snowball sampling: Problems and techniques of chain referral sampling*. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 10(2), 141-163.
- Bontis, N., Dragonetti, N. C., Jacobsen, K., & Roos, G. (2011). *The knowledge toolbox: A review of the tools available to measure and manage intangible resources*. *European Business Review*, 19(4), 308–328.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). *Using thematic analysis in psychology*. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brammer, S., & Pavelin, S. (2006). *Corporate reputation and social performance: The importance of fit*. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(3), 435-455.
- Brammer, S., Millington, A., & Rayton, B. (2007). *The contribution of corporate social responsibility to organizational commitment*. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(10), 1701-1719.
- Carroll, A. B. (2015). *Corporate social responsibility: The centerpiece of competing and complementary frameworks*. *Organizational Dynamics*, 44(2), 87-96.
- Carroll, A. B. (1999). *Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct*. *Business & Society*, 38(3), 268-295.
- Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). *The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice*. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85-105.
- Cheng, S., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2015). *Corporate social responsibility and access to finance*. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(1), 1-23.
- Claessens, S., Djankov, S., & Lang, L. H. (2002). *The separation of ownership and control in East Asian corporations*. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58(1-2), 81-112.
- Dahlsrud, A. (2008). *How corporate social responsibility is defined: An analysis of 37 definitions*. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15(1), 1-13.
- Djap, W., Hermawati, O., Irawan, D., Mustikasiwi, A., 2022. *The Role of Transformastional Leadership, Human Capital, and Job Satisfaction in Influencing Organizaional Citizenship Behavior of Volunteers at The Buddhist Tzu Chi Foundation*. *Religi: Jurnal Studi Agama-Agama* Vol. 18 No. 2 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.14421/rejusta.2022.1802-04>
- Fauzi, H., Mahoney, L. S., & Hartarska, V. (2018). *Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility disclosures: Evidence from an emerging economy*. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 198, 820-832.
- Gubrium, J. F., & Holstein, J. A. (2002). *Handbook of interview research: Context and method*. SAGE Publications.
- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). *How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability*. *Field Methods*, 18(1), 59-82.

- Gupta, A. K., & Shaw, J. D. (2014). *Employee turnover intentions: A review and synthesis*. *Human Resource Management Review*, 24(2), 173-193.
- Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. (2012). *Information disclosure and corporate governance*. *Journal of Finance*, 67(1), 195-234.
- Huang, H., & Watson, J. (2015). *Corporate social responsibility research in accounting*. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 34, 1-16.
- Huselid, M. A. (2005). *The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(3), 635-672.
- Kim, H. R., Lee, M., Lee, H. T., & Kim, N. M. (2010). *Corporate social responsibility and employee-company identification*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(4), 557-569.
- Kumandang, C., & Hendriyeni, N. (2021). *Corporate Social Responsibility, Corporate Governance dan Manajemen Laba pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Indonesia Tahun 2015-2019*. *Journal of Management and Business Review*, 18(2), 193-208. <https://doi.org/10.34>
- Kusnanto, E. (2022). *Performance Measurement Based on Balance Scorecard Perspective of Sustainable Leadership, Corporate Governance and Human Capital in Banking Industry*. *International Journal of Contemporary Accounting*, 4(1), 41-58.
- Mallin, C. A. (2013). *Corporate governance*. Oxford University Press.
- Mallin, C. A. (2020). *Corporate governance (6th ed.)*. Oxford University Press.
- Margolis, J. D., & Walsh, J. P. (2003). *Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business*. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(2), 268-305.
- Monks, R. A. G., & Minow, N. (2011). *Corporate governance (5th ed.)*. Wiley.
- Morse, J. M. (2000). *Determining sample size*. *Qualitative Health Research*, 10(1), 3-5.
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). *Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria*. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1609406917733847.
- Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., & Rynes, S. L. (2003). *Corporate social and financial performance: A meta-analysis*. *Organization Studies*, 24(3), 403-441.
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). *Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research*. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533-544.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). *Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility*. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(12), 78-92.
- Saldaña, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. SAGE Publications.
- Santoso, S., Qalbia, F., & Benardi, B. (2023). *Dampak Pandemi Covid 19 Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Bank Umum di Indonesia*. *ASSET: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis*, 6(1). doi:<https://doi.org/10.24269/asset.v6i1.7133>
- Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory, method, and research*. SAGE Publications.

- Solomon, J. (2013). *Corporate governance and accountability (4th ed.)*. Wiley.
- Thoha, N., Fauzi, A., & Ahmar, A. S. (2021). *Operational resilience and human capital: Toward corporate sustainable longevity in Indonesian Jamu industry*. In International Conference on Business, Economics and Sustainable Development (ICBESD 2020) (pp. 51-58). Springer, Singapore.
- Tricker, B. (2012). *Corporate governance: Principles, policies, and practices (2nd ed.)*. Oxford University Press.
- Ulrich, D. (2017). *The future of human resource management: 64 thought leaders explore the critical HR issues of today and tomorrow*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Wajong, B. E. R., Irawan, D., Wylene, ., & Bernarto, I. (2020). *Persepsi Karyawan pada CSR, Keterlibatan Karyawan, dan Kepemimpinan Etis pengaruhnya terhadap Kreativitas Karyawan*. JURNAL ADMINISTRASI BISNIS, 10(2), 72–79. <https://doi.org/10.35797/jab.v10.i2.72-79>
- Wright, P. M., McMahan, G. C., & McWilliams, A. (1994). *Human resources and sustained competitive advantage: A resource-based perspective*. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 5(2), 301-326.